# COMPETITION BETWEEN AXIAL AND NON-AXIAL OCTUPOLE DEFORMATIONS IN HEAVY NUCLEI

J. DUDEK

Institut de Recherches Subatomiques and Université Louis Pasteur F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France Jerzy.Dudek@ires.in2p3.fr

# K. MAZUREK and B. NERLO-POMORSKA

Katedra Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej, PL-20031 Lublin, Poland kasiam@kft.umcs.lublin.pl,pomorska@kft.umcs.lublin.pl

> Received 30 October 2003 Revised 21 November 2003

The macroscopic-microscopic method is applied to calculate the energies of heavy nuclei (A > 220) in a multidimensional deformation space  $\{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}\}$  including axial and non-axial quadrupole  $(\lambda = 2, \mu = 0, 2)$ , axial and non-axial octupole  $(\lambda = 3, \mu = 0, 2)$  and axial hexadecapole  $(\lambda = 4, \mu = 0)$  degrees of freedom. Shell and pairing corrections are calculated from the single-particle energies of the Woods-Saxon potential with the universal parameters and added to the macroscopic energy of the newest Lublin-Strasbourg Drop (LSD) model to obtain the total deformation energy.

### 1. Introduction

We would like to present some preliminary results related to our systematic investigation of the total nuclear energy including simultaneously the mass-asymmetry and non-axial degrees of freedom. The nuclear shapes are parametrized as usual using the spherical harmonic  $Y_{\lambda,\mu}$  basis:

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta,\phi) = R_0 c(\{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}\}) \left( 1 + \sum_{\lambda=2}^{\lambda_{max}} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda,\mu} Y_{\lambda,\mu}(\theta,\phi) \right), \tag{1}$$

where the function  $c(\{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}\})$  is obtained from the volume-conservation condition. The results presented below have the form of two-dimensional plots with the energy minimised with respect to the other deformation variables.

#### 118 J. Dudek, K. Mazurek and B. Nerlo-Pomorska

### 2. Realisation of the Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

The potential energy surfaces are obtained using the macroscopic-microscopic method. The main contribution to the total energy is coming from the macroscopic part obtained with the Lublin-Strasbourg-Drop formula,<sup>1</sup> which has been shown to reproduce the masses of 2766 nuclei with a mean-square deviation of 0.698 MeV when the deformation, shell and pairing corrections of Ref.<sup>2</sup> are included.

The corresponding macroscopic energy (expressed in MeV) reads:

$$\begin{split} E_{LSD} &= -15.4920 \left( 1 - 1.8601 \, I^2 \right) A \\ &+ 16.9707 \left( 1 - 2.2938 \, I^2 \right) A^{2/3} B_{surf}(def) \\ &+ 3.8602 \left( 1 + 2.3764 \, I^2 \right) A^{1/3} B_{cur}(def) \\ &+ \frac{3}{5} e^2 \frac{Z^2}{r_0^{ch} A^{1/3}} B_{Coul}(def) - 0.9181 \, \frac{Z^2}{A} - 10 \, \exp\left( -4.2|I| \right), \end{split}$$

where A = Z + N and I = (N - Z)/A and where the surface, curvature and Coulomb terms depend on the nuclear deformation.<sup>3</sup>

The microscopic part contains a shell correction calculated with the Strutinsky method  $^4$  and a pairing correction  $^5$ 

$$E_{pair} = E_{BCS} + \overline{E}_{pc} \tag{3}$$

where

$$E_{BCS} = \sum_{\nu=N_1}^{N_2} 2 v_{\nu}^2 (e_{\nu} - \lambda) - \frac{\Delta^2}{G} - G\left(\sum_{\nu=N_1}^{N_2} v_k^4 - \sum_{\nu=N_1}^{N_2} 1\right) - \sum_{\nu=N_1}^{N_2} (e_{\nu} - \lambda) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\overline{E}_{pc} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{N^2}{\overline{\rho}} \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\overline{\rho}\overline{\Delta}}{N}} - 1 \right\} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\rho}\overline{\Delta}\overline{G}\arctan\left(\frac{N}{2\overline{\rho}\overline{\Delta}}\right)$$
(5)

where  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  are limits of the pairing window,  $v_{\nu}^2$  the single-particle occupation probabilities,  $\overline{\rho}$  the average level density and G the pairing strength. We are using the average pairing gap fitted to the experimental masses of Ref.<sup>6</sup>:

$$\overline{\Delta}_n = 9.08/\sqrt{A} \text{ MeV}, \quad \overline{\Delta}_p = 9.85/\sqrt{A} \text{ MeV}.$$
 (6)

The spectrum of single-particle energies  $e_{\nu}$  is obtained with the Woods - Saxon single particle potential with the universal set of parameters.<sup>7</sup>

## 3. Results

We use the  $\{\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}\}$ -plane of the quadrupole deformation parameters and translate it to Cartesian coordinates  $\{x, y\}$  defined by

$$x = \beta \cos(\gamma + 30) \quad \text{and} \quad y = \beta \sin(\gamma + 30) \tag{7}$$

where

$$\alpha_{20} = \beta \cos(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{22} = \beta \sin(\gamma) / \sqrt{2}$$
(8)

In the following we present the total energies as geographical maps in coordinates x and either  $\alpha_{30}$  or  $\alpha_{32}$ , minimised with respect to y and  $\alpha_{40}$ .



Fig. 1. Comparison of the total nuclear energies for  $^{224}$ Rn as function of the coordinate x (cf. Eqs. (7)-(8)) and the axial  $\alpha_{30}$  (top) and non-axial  $\alpha_{32}$  (bottom) octupole deformation parameters. Notice that in both cases the equilibrium deformation involves to a non-zero quadrupole deformation. The static equilibrium deformation corresponds to a non-axial octupole deformation with a barrier between the two minima of the order of 500 keV.

Let us emphasize that mass asymmetric deformations lead to different pictures in both cases: while in  $^{224}$ Rn the minimum deformation corresponds to a non-axial mass-asymmetric shape, the situation just the opposite in the neighbouring isotone  $^{226}$ Ra. This signifies two different classes of shapes given the fact that the non-zero quadrupole defor-



120 J. Dudek, K. Mazurek and B. Nerlo-Pomorska

Fig. 2. Similar to Fig. (1) but for the isotone of  $^{224}$ Rn, the  $^{226}$ Ra nucleus. Observe that in this case the axially symmetric octupole deformation leads to static mass asymmetric minima separated by a barrier of over a 400 keV height while the  $\alpha_{32}$  degree of freedom does *not* lead to a static equilibrium deformations.

mations are superposed with the non-zero axial in one case and the non-axial one in the other. Consequently, the analysis of the octupole degrees of freedom strongly suggests a much more complicated picture as compared to the earlier analysis of Ref.<sup>8</sup> and several others where only the axial-octupole deformations have been used.

 $Competition\ between\ Axial\ and\ Non-axial\ Octupole\ Deformations\ in\ Heavy\ Nuclei$ 

## References

- 1. K. Pomorski and J. Dudek; Phys. Rev. C67, 044316 (2003).
- P. Möller, J. R. Nix, W. D. Myers and W. J. Świątecki, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 59, 185 (1995).
- 3. J.Dudek, K.Mazurek and B. Nerlo-Pomorska; submitted to Acta Phys. Polon..
- 4. V. M. Strutinsky; Nucl. Phys. A122, 1 (1968).
- 5. D. R. Bes, Z. Szymanski, Nucl. Phys. 28, 42 (1963).
- 6. M. S. Antony, 'Nuclide Chart 2002', IReS, Strasbourg, France, Association Européenne contre les Leucodystrophies .
- S. Ćwiok, J. Dudek, W. Nazarewicz, J. Skalski and T. Werner, Comp. Phys. Comm. 46, 379 (1987).
- W. Nazarewicz, P. Olanders, I. Ragnarsson, J. Dudek, G. A. Leander, P. Möller and E. Ruchowska, Nucl. Phys. A429, 269 (1984).