The 18th Nuclear Physics Workshop "Nuclear Collective Phenomena", Kazimierz Dolny, Poland, 28 September - 02 October, 2011

Impurity effect of A hyperon on collective excitation of atomic nuclei

Jiang Ming Yao (尧江明)

Physique Nucléaire Théorique et Physique Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, C.P. 229, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium & School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715 China

October 1, 2011





1/30

Outline

Introduction

- Importance of A hypernuclei
- γ -ray spectroscopy of Λ hypernuclei
- Towards symmetry restored EDF for Λ hypernuclei
- The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for A effect on nuclear collectivity
 - The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian
 - Impurity effect of **∧** on nuclear collectivity: an example
 - A systematical study of Λ impurity effect

B A new parametrization for effective AN interaction in Covariant EDF

- Effective Lagrangian density
- Parametrization for ΛN interaction
- Some results with the new PK1-Y1 interaction

4 Summary and perspective (2 min.)

Importance of A hypernuclei

Importance of **A** hypernuclei

- Λ-hypernucleus: nucleus with either a neutron or proton being replaced by a Λ hyperon (Λ: τ ~ 2.6 × 10⁻¹⁰s, no charge, no isospin)
- First discovery of ∧ hypernucleus: pionic decay in emulsion produced by cosmic rays

Danysz and Pniewski, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. III 1, 42 (1953); Phil. Mag. 44, 348 (1953).

■ What can we learn from <u>∧</u> hypernuclei?

- AN effective interaction in nuclear medium
- EoS and neutron star with Λ hyperons

N. K. Glendenning, Compact Stars (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000)





- Impurity (shrinkage) effect of Λ on atomic nuclei:

size, shape, binding/sepataion energy, density distribution, single-particle energy, shell effect, fission barrier, ···

Tanida(2001), Hashimoto (2006), · · · .



r-ray spectroscopy of A hypernuclei

Spectroscopy of A-hypernuclei



The facilities built at J-PARC provide an opportunity to perform hypernuclear γ-ray spectroscopy study with high precision by improving the quality of the secondary mesonic beam Tamura09. These facilities offer useful tools to study the low-lying states of hypernuclei.

r-ray spectroscopy of A hypernuclei

Spectroscopy of A-hypernuclei

Theoretical studies of low-lying excited states in A-hypernuclei

- ► Few-body model Nemura (2002)
- Cluster model Motoba (1983), Yamada (1984), Hiyama (2003), Hiyama (2010)
- Shell model Dalitz (1978), Millener (2010).

More recently,

the antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD) has been extended for studying the deformation and low-lying states of hypernuclei Isaka (2011).

Extension of nuclear SR-EDF for hypernuclei

Energy density functional (EDF) theory in nuclear physics is nowadays the most important microscopic approach for large-scale nuclear structure calculations in medium and heavy nuclei. *M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, P.-G. Reinhard, Rev. Modern Phys.* 75 (2003) 121. G. A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, D. Vretenar (Eds.), Extended Density Functionals in Nuclear Structure Physics, in: Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 641, Springer, Heidelberg, 2004.

In the past decades, the nuclear single-reference(SR)-EDF has been extended to study hypernuclei extensively, but mostly focused on g.s. properties Rayet (1976), Yamamoto (1988), Millener (1988), Rufa (1990), Mares (1994), Schaffner (1994), Sugahara (1994), Vretenar (1998), Lv (2003), Tan (04), Shen (2006), · · · .

Towards symmetry restored EDF for A hypernuclei

A-hypernuclei in SR-EDF theory

Recent investigations of polarization effect of A on nuclear deformation

- Both the non-relativistic Skyrme-Hartree-Fock (SHF) Zhou (2007), Schulze (2010), Thi Win (2011) and the relativistic mean-field (RMF) approaches Thi Win (2008), Lu (2011) have been applied to study the impurity effect of A hyperon on the deformation of sd-shell A hypernuclei.
- It has been found that, generally, the shape polarization effect of the Λ hyperon is small, but with several exceptions, including ¹³_ΛC, ²³_ΛC, and ^{29,31}_ΛSi.

► $^{29,31}_{\Lambda}$ Si: minimum is shifted from oblate to spherical shape *Thi Win* (2008)



6/30

Towards symmetry restored EDF for A hypernuclei

Quantitatively study of **A** impurity effect on nuclear collectivity

Towards symmetry restored MR-EDF approach for hypernuclei

- The SR-EDF calculations Zhou07, Thi Win08, Schulze10, Thi Win11, Lu11 showed that the A hyperon could soften the potential energy surface, in which case, however, a large shape fluctuation effect of collective vibration might be expected.
- Furthermore, symmetry (e.g., translation, rotation, particle number) is spontaneously broken in the static SR-EDF. The symmetry restoration becomes important for studying the spectrum of low-lying states.

Alternative methods to solve the above problems:

- ► 3D Projection + GCM: Non-Rel.: Bender(2008), Rodriguez(2010); Rel.: Yao(2009,2010)
- ► 5D Collective Hamiltonian Libert (1999), Prochniak (2004), Niksic (2009), Li (2010)

The first step of this work

- ► Construction of a 5DCH with the parameters derived from the SHF+BCS calculations for the nuclear core in a single-Λ hypernucleus and calculate the corresponding low-spin excitation spectra.
- ► The impurity effect of A hyperon on the collective motion of an atomic nucleus is examined by studying the modifications of collective excitation spectrum. JMY, Z.P. Li, K. Hagino, M. Thi Win, Y. Zhang, J. Meng, NPA 868-869, 12 (2011)

Outline

Introduction

- Importance of A hypernuclei
- \checkmark γ -ray spectroscopy of \land hypernuclei
- Towards symmetry restored EDF for ∧ hypernuclei

2 The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for Λ effect on nuclear collectivity

- The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian
- Impurity effect of A on nuclear collectivity: an example
- A systematical study of ∧ impurity effect

B A new parametrization for effective AN interaction in Covariant EDF

- Effective Lagrangian density
- Parametrization for ΛN interaction
- Some results with the new PK1-Y1 interaction

4 Summary and perspective (2 min.)

The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

Collective Hamiltonian in five dimension

The collective Hamiltonian that describes the nuclear excitations of quadrupole vibrations, 3D rotations, and their couplings can be written in the form: *Libert*

(1999), Prochniak (2004), Niksic (2009), Li (2010)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_{\rm vib} + \hat{T}_{\rm rot} + V_{\rm coll}.$$
(1)

The vibrational kinetic energy is given by,

$$\hat{T}_{\text{vib}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\sqrt{wr}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^4} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \sqrt{\frac{r}{w}} \beta^4 B_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \sqrt{\frac{r}{w}} \beta^3 B_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\beta \sin 3\gamma} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{r}{w}} \sin 3\gamma B_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{r}{w}} \sin 3\gamma B_{\beta\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} \right] \right\}$$

where $r = B_1 B_2 B_3$, and $w = B_{\beta\beta} B_{\gamma\gamma} - B_{\beta\gamma}^2$.

Rotational kinetic energy,

$$\hat{T}_{\rm rot} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{3} \frac{\hat{J}_{\kappa}^2}{\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{\kappa}},\tag{3}$$

where \mathcal{I}_{κ} are the moments of inertia.

Parameters in 5DCH: $B_{\beta\beta}$, $B_{\beta\gamma}$, $B_{\gamma\gamma}$; $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa=1,2,3}$; V_{coll} (function of β, γ).

うく(で 9/30 The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

Skyrme EDF for A hypernucleus

The Skyrme EDF for Λ hypernucleus is given by,

$$m{E}_{
m tot}^{
m SR} = \int d^3 r [\mathcal{E}_N({f r}) + \mathcal{T}_\Lambda({f r}) + \mathcal{E}_{N\Lambda}({f r})],$$

- Nuclear part: *E_N(r)* is the standard EDF, including the Skyrme force in *ph*-channel, δ-force in *pp*-channel and kinetic term *Vautherin* (1972), Bonche (2005).
- Kinetic part for Λ : $T_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\Lambda}}\tau_{\Lambda}$

► Interaction part related to the A: M. Rayet, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 102, 226 (1976)

$$\mathcal{E}_{N\Lambda} = t_0^{\Lambda} (1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0^{\Lambda}) \rho_{\Lambda} \rho_N + \frac{1}{4} (t_1^{\Lambda} + t_2^{\Lambda}) (\tau_{\Lambda} \rho_N + \tau_N \rho_{\Lambda}) + \frac{1}{8} (3t_1^{\Lambda} - t_2^{\Lambda}) (\nabla \rho_N \cdot \nabla \rho_{\Lambda}) + \frac{1}{4} t_3^{\Lambda} \rho_{\Lambda} (\rho_N^2 + 2\rho_n \rho_p) + \frac{1}{2} W_0^{\Lambda} (\nabla \rho_N \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\Lambda} + \nabla \rho_{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{J}_N) \tau_N \rho_{\Lambda},$$
(5)

where ρ_{Λ} , τ_{Λ} and J_{Λ} are respectively the particle density, the kinetic energy density, and the spin density of the Λ hyperon.

Parameters in ΛN interaction: $t_0^{\Lambda}, x_0^{\Lambda}, t_1^{\Lambda}, t_2^{\Lambda}, t_3^{\Lambda}$, and W_0^{Λ} .

う へ (~ 10 / 30

(4)

The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian

Pairing correlation between nucleons

The density-dependent δ -force is adopted in the *pp*-channel,

$$V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = -g \frac{1 - \hat{P}^{\sigma}}{2} \left[1 - \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}_1)}{\rho_0} \right] \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \tag{6}$$

where \hat{P}^{σ} is the spin-exchange operator, and $\rho_0 = 0.16$ fm⁻³.

Collective potential in 5DCH

The collective potential V_{coll} in the collective Hamiltonian is obtained by subtracting the zero-point-energy (ZPE) from the total mean-field energy,

$$V_{\text{coll}}(\beta,\gamma) = E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{core}}(\beta,\gamma) - \Delta V_{\text{vib}}(\beta,\gamma) - \Delta V_{\text{rot}}(\beta,\gamma), \tag{7}$$

where E_{tot}^{core} is the total energy for the nuclear core in Λ hypernucleus. We will investigate two options, that is, those with (w) or without (w/o) the interaction part of energy $\mathcal{E}_{N\Lambda}$ between the Λ and nucleons,

$$E_{\rm tot}^{\rm core} = \begin{cases} \int d^3 r \mathcal{E}_N(\mathbf{r}), & w/o\\ \int d^3 r [\mathcal{E}_N(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{E}_{N\Lambda}(\mathbf{r})], & w \end{cases}$$

(8)

Impurity effect of A on nuclear collectivity: an example

Polarization effect of Λ on collective properties of ²⁴Mg



Figure: Potential energy surfaces by SHF+BCS calculations with the SGII force. Each contour line is separated by 0.5 MeV. M. Thi Win, K. Hagino, and T. Koike, PRC83, 014301 (2011)



Figure: Parameters in 5DCH for ²⁴Mg and nuclear core of $^{25}_{\Lambda}$ Mg. JMY, Z. P. Li, K. Hagino, M. Thi Win, Y. Zhang, and J. Meng, NPA 868-869 (2011) 12-24. 12/30

Impurity effect of Λ on nuclear collectivity: an example

Polarization effect of A on collective properties of ²⁴Mg

²⁴Mg ²⁵Mg(core) 60 60 **G**(deg) g(deg) r (b,g) 40 40 20 20 0.6 0.80 0.0 0.2 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.4 h b



Shift in collective wavefunction of g.s.

The Λ hyperon shifts the collective wave function of ground state to a smaller deformation region by softening the nuclear collective potential surface in the neighborhood of spherical shape.

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Impurity effect of A on nuclear collectivity: an example

Low-lying spectrum of 24 Mg with and without Λ



Figure: The spectrum calculated with two options: $V_{\text{coll.}}$ with (without) the contribution of \mathcal{E}_{MA} term.

JMY, Z. P. Li, K. Hagino, M. Thi Win, Y. Zhang, and J. Meng, NPA 868-869 (2011) 12-24.

- Comparing columns (b) and (d), one finds that the ∧ increases excitation energy of 2⁺₁ state by ~ 7% and reduces B(E2: 2⁺₁ → 0⁺₁) by ~ 9%.
- However, the shrinkage effect on the rms proton radius is only ~ 0.5%.
- In other words, A reduces the collectivity of ²⁴Mg, mainly by softening the PEC around spherical shape, rather than by shrinking the rms radius of protons.

Table: Excitation energies (MeV) of 2^+_2 , 4^+_2 and 0^+_2 states.

	$E_{x}(2_{2}^{+})$	$E_{x}(4_{2}^{+})$	$E_{x}(0_{2}^{+})$
Exp.	4.24	6.01	6.43
²⁴ Mg	4.44	7.11	3.13
$^{25}_{\Lambda}$ Mg (core, w)	₫ 4.68	7.45	3.1⊅ ≤

A systematical study of **A** impurity effect

The Skyrme EDFs for a systematical study

Effective interactions in *ph*-channel for both nucleons and Λ

Table: Parameters in the Skyrme-type ΛN effective interactions for a systematical study of Λ impurity effect.

Parameter	t_0^{Λ}	x_0^{\Lambda}	t_1^{Λ}	t_2^{Λ}	t_3^{Λ}	W_0^{Λ}
SIII-No.1	-349.0	-0.108	67.61	37.39	2000.000	0.0
SLy4-HP120310	-346.6	-0.135	98.90	50.38	1617.566	0.0

15/30

SIII-No.1:

M. Beiner, H. Flocard, Nguyen Van Giai, P. Quentin, Nucl. Phys. A238, 29 (1975);

Y. Yamamoto, H. Bandō, and J. Žofka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 80, 757 (1988)

▶ SLy4-HP120310:

E. Chabanat, P. Bonche, P. Haensel, J. Meyer, and R. Schaeffer, Nucl. Phys. A 635, 231 (1998);

N. Guleria, S. K. Dhiman and R. Shyam, arXiv:1108.0787v1 [nucl-th] (2011)

A systematical study of **A** impurity effect

Comparison of PECs by different Skyrmes forces and pairing strengths



The Sly4 and SIII forces gives similar polarization effect of Λ hyperon.

Pairing strength for nucleons has significant influence on the topology of potential energy curves for atomic nuclei, but negligible effect on the polarization effect of A hyperon.



A impurity effect in shape-coexistence nucleus: ⁴⁴S



H. Mei, JMY, K. Hagino, Z.P. Li, et al., in preparation.

PES, WF, Spectra for ⁴⁴S and nuclear core of ⁴⁵S



4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の Q (や 18 / 30

A systematical study of **A** impurity effect

PES, WF, Spectra for ${}^{44}S$ and nuclear core of ${}^{45}_{\Lambda}S$



- The spectrum of ⁴⁴S is reproduced quite well by the 5DCH calculation with the SIII force.
- The impurity effect of Λ is very small.
- As pointed out in Refs. M. Thi Win (2008); H.-J. Schulze (2010), the polarization effect of A particle might be stronger in the calculations with covariant EDFs. It is very interesting to extend this work to the relativistic case.

Outline

Introduction

- Importance of A hypernuclei
- γ -ray spectroscopy of Λ hypernuclei
- Towards symmetry restored EDF for Λ hypernuclei
- ☑ The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for ∧ effect on nuclear collectivity
 - The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian
 - Impurity effect of ∧ on nuclear collectivity: an example
 - A systematical study of ∧ impurity effect

B A new parametrization for effective AN interaction in Covariant EDF

- Effective Lagrangian density
- Parametrization for AN interaction
- Some results with the new PK1-Y1 interaction

4 Summary and perspective (2 min.)

Introduction The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for A effect on nuclear collectivity A new parameter 00000 0000000000 000000000000000000	rization for effective AN interaction in Covariant EDF Su
Effective Lagrangian density Effective Lagrangian density	
Effective Lagrangian density for hypernucleus	
$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_N + \mathcal{L}_\Lambda,$	(9)
For nuclear part	
$\mathcal{L}_{N} = \bar{\psi}_{N} \left[i \partial \!\!\!/ - m_{N} - g_{N\sigma} \sigma - g_{N\omega} \gamma^{\mu} \omega_{\mu} - g_{N\rho} \right]$ $+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma - \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^{2} \sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{3} g_{2} \sigma^{3} - \frac{1}{4} g_{3} \sigma$ $- \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\mu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^{2} \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu})$	$\gamma^{\mu}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\rho}_{\mu}-e\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu}\frac{1-\tau_{3}}{2}\bigg]\psi_{N}$ τ^{4}
$-\frac{1}{4}M_{\mu\nu}M^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}M_{\omega}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}C_{3}(\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\nu}) \\ -\frac{1}{4}\vec{R}_{\mu\nu}\vec{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\vec{\rho}_{\mu}\cdot\vec{\rho}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$. (10)
For A hyperon part	
The Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_{Λ} related to the Λ hyperon	is as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} = \bar{\psi}_{\Lambda} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{\Lambda} - g_{\Lambda\sigma} \sigma - g_{\Lambda\omega} \gamma^{\mu} \omega_{\mu} \right) \psi_{\Lambda} + \frac{f_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda}}{4m_{\Lambda}} \bar{\psi}_{\Lambda} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \psi_{\Lambda}.$$
(11)

Parameters in AN interaction

 m_{Λ} , $g_{\Lambda\sigma}$, $g_{\Lambda\omega}$ and $f_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda}$ (much less than that of Skyrme force!).

うく(~ 21/30

Parametrization procedure

Obsevables in fitting

- ► Single- Λ energies $\varepsilon_{1s1/2}^{\Lambda}$ of 13 selected hypernuclei from ${}^{12}_{\Lambda}$ C to ${}^{208}_{\Lambda}$ Pb
- ► Spin-orbit splittings $\varepsilon_{1p1/2}^{\Lambda} \varepsilon_{1p3/2}^{\Lambda}$ of ${}_{\Lambda}^{13}C$ Ajimura01, and ${}_{\Lambda}^{9}Be$ Ukai04

Fitting strategy

- ▶ Choosing the PK1 $_{Long04}$ for NN part and fixing $m_{\Lambda} = 1115.6$ MeV
- ► Adjusting R_{σ} , R_{ω} by fixing $R_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda} = 0$ or $R_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda} = 1$ Cohen (1991), where $R_{\sigma} \equiv g_{\Lambda\sigma}/g_{N\sigma}$, $R_{\omega} \equiv g_{\Lambda\omega}/g_{N\omega}$ and $R_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda} \equiv f_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda}/g_{\Lambda\omega}$.
- Minimizing the square deviation between the experimental observable O_i^{exp} and the calculated value O_i^{cal}

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{(\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{exp.}} - \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{cal.}})^2}{(\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{exp.}} / \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{exp.}})^2},$$

where $\Delta \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{exp.}}$ is the experimental uncertainty of the observable.

(12)

 Introduction
 The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for ∧ effect on nuclear collectivity
 A new parametrization for effective ∧N interaction in Covariant EDF
 Sur

 00000
 0000000000
 00000000

Parametrization for AN interaction

The ΛN effective interaction in covariant EDF



• The χ^2 distribution: a sharp deep valley $\Rightarrow R_{\sigma}$ and R_{ω} are linearly correlated approximately as

$$R_{\omega} = 1.22R_{\sigma} - 0.09 \tag{13}$$

for $R_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda} = 0$ and 1, consistent with the DD-RMF results _{Keil} (2000).

 Introduction
 The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for ∧ effect on nuclear collectivity
 A new parametrization for effective ∧N interaction in Covariant EDF
 Sur

 00000
 0000000000
 00000000

Parametrization for AN interaction

The ΛN effective interaction in covariant EDF



H.F. Lu, JMY, J. Meng, et al., in prepation (2011). C.Y. Song, JMY, H.F. Lu, and J. Meng, IJMPE 19, 2538 (2010). • The χ^2 distribution: a sharp deep valley $\Rightarrow R_{\sigma}$ and R_{ω} are linearly correlated approximately as

$$R_{\omega} = 1.22R_{\sigma} - 0.09 \tag{13}$$

イロト イポト イヨト

23 / 30

for $R_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda} = 0$ and 1, consistent with the DD-RMF results *Keil* (2000).

• Reason: Cancelation of potentials V and S mainly determines single-particle energy of $\Lambda_{1s1/2}$. (\Rightarrow not sufficient to fix the effective ΛN interaction).

 Introduction
 The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for ∧ effect on nuclear collectivity
 A new parametrization for effective ∧N interaction in Covariant EDF
 Sur

 00000
 0000000000
 00000000

Parametrization for AN interaction

The ΛN effective interaction in covariant EDF



distribution ($\mathcal{O} = \varepsilon_{1s1/2}^{\wedge}$) in RMF calculations as a function of the ratios R_{σ} and R_{ω} . Local minima are indicated with red points. • The χ^2 distribution: a sharp deep valley $\Rightarrow R_{\sigma}$ and R_{ω} are linearly correlated approximately as

$$R_{\omega} = 1.22R_{\sigma} - 0.09$$
 (13)

for $R_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda} = 0$ and 1, consistent with the DD-RMF results *Keil* (2000).

- Reason: Cancelation of potentials V and S mainly determines single-particle energy of $\Lambda_{1s1/2}$. (\Rightarrow not sufficient to fix the effective ΛN interaction).
- Such a strong balance is not changed by the $\omega \Lambda \Lambda$ tensor coupling.
- The spin-orbit splittings of Λ s.p. states are mainly determined by derivative of V + S, \Rightarrow constraining the values of R_{σ} , R_{ω} and $R_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda}$. The spin-orbit splitting of Λ single-particle requires the $R_{\omega\Lambda\Lambda} = 1 \ (2) \$

Parametrization for AN interaction

The AN effective interaction in covariant EDF

Table: Parameters for the ΛN effective interaction, in comparison with the previous adopted values in different covariant EDFs.

	PK1			T	M1	NLS		
Parameter	Y1	Y2	Y0	A Sugahara94	B Sugahara94	A Mares94	В ма96	<i>SU</i> (3) _f
R_{σ}	0.580	0.705	0.840	0.219	0.468	0.621	0.490	0.667
R_ω	0.620	0.772	0.940	0.177	0.485	0.667	0.512	0.667
$R_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda}$	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.21	0.0 (1.0)	0.616	0.0 (1.0)



H.F. Lu, JMY, J. Meng, et al., in prepation (2011). C.Y. Song, JMY, H.F. Lu, and J. Meng, IJMPE 19, 2538 (2010).

24/30

Parametrization for AN interaction

The AN effective interaction in covariant EDF

Table: The calculated spin-orbit splittings of p_{Λ} states with the PK1-Y1, PK1-Y2, and PK1-Y0, in comparison with the data *Tamura02,Ukai04,Ajimura01* and those obtained with the TM1-A *Sugahara94*, TM1-B *Sugahara94*, NLSH-A *Mares94*, and NLSH-B *Ma96*. All energies are given in MeV. The bold-faced quantities denote the data used in the parametrization fitting.

		PK1		TM1		NLSH		
Nuclei	Exp.	Y1	Y2	Y0	A Sugahara94	B Sugahara94	$A^{R_{\omega \Lambda\Lambda}=1.0}$ Mares 94	В мая
⁹ / _A Be	0.045(5)	0.039	0.085	0.250	0.016	0.004	0.057	0.053
^13C	0.152(65)	0.233	0.320	1.345	0.334	0.012	0.293	0.516

H.F. Lu, JMY, J. Meng, et al., in prepation (2011). C.Y. Song, JMY, H.F. Lu, and J. Meng, IJMPE 19, 2538 (2010).

Some results with the new PK1-Y1 interaction

Polarization effect of **A** on carbon isotopes



Figure: The potential energy surfaces of carbon isotopes and those of corresponding single- Λ hypernuclei, calculated with the PK1-Y1 effective interactions.

- The deformations of the carbon hypernuclei are similar to their corresponding core nuclei
- Two exceptions: ¹³_AC and ²³_AC, the minima of which are shifted from the oblate shape to spherical one.
- Quantitative (Covariant EDF based) evaluation of Λ effect on nuclear collectivity needs to be done to constrain further the strengths R_σ, R_ω.

Outline

Introduction

- Importance of A hypernuclei
- γ -ray spectroscopy of Λ hypernuclei
- Towards symmetry restored EDF for ∧ hypernuclei
- The Skyrme EDF based 5DCH for A effect on nuclear collectivity
 - The Skyrme EDF based 5D collective Hamiltonian
 - Impurity effect of Λ on nuclear collectivity: an example
 - A systematical study of ∧ impurity effect

B A new parametrization for effective AN interaction in Covariant EDF

- Effective Lagrangian density
- Parametrization for ΛN interaction
- Some results with the new PK1-Y1 interaction

4 Summary and perspective (2 min.)

Summary and perspective

Impurity effect of **A** on nuclear collectivity

Based on several different Skyrme EDFs, the impurity effect of Λ on nuclear collectivity have been studied systematically with 5D collective Hamiltonian.

- The impurity effect of Λ hyperon on nuclear collectivity (²⁴Mg, ⁴⁴S, ···) is generally small (within 5 10%).
- The searching for possible large Λ impurity effect on nuclear collectivity (e.g., in ^{28,30}Si) is in progress.

New parametrization of AN effective interaction based on a Rel. EDF

A new parametrization PK1-Y1 of ΛN effective interaction has been proposed based on the PK1 covariant EDF for nuclear part. Both the s.p. energy of Λ of 13 hypernuclei and the spin-orbit splitting of p_{Λ} states of 2 hypernuclei have been used to constrain the ΛN effective interaction.

- The $\Lambda\omega\omega$ tensor coupling is essential for small s.o. splitting of p_{Λ} state.
- Only s.p. energy of Λ cannot uniquely constrain the ΛN interaction.
- A quantitative evaluation of Λ effect on nuclear collectivity should be done to constrain further the strengths R_{σ} , R_{ω} .

Summary and perspective

Impurity effect of **A** on nuclear collectivity

Based on several different Skyrme EDFs, the impurity effect of Λ on nuclear collectivity have been studied systematically with 5D collective Hamiltonian.

- The impurity effect of Λ hyperon on nuclear collectivity (²⁴Mg, ⁴⁴S, ···) is generally small (within 5 10%).
- The searching for possible large Λ impurity effect on nuclear collectivity (e.g., in ^{28,30}Si) is in progress.

New parametrization of AN effective interaction based on a Rel. EDF

A new parametrization PK1-Y1 of ΛN effective interaction has been proposed based on the PK1 covariant EDF for nuclear part. Both the s.p. energy of Λ of 13 hypernuclei and the spin-orbit splitting of p_{Λ} states of 2 hypernuclei have been used to constrain the ΛN effective interaction. The further steps of this work to be done in the future...

- develop a 5DCH for hypernuclei (suitable for odd-mass/-odd nuclei)
- develop a 3D projection+GCM approach for hypernuclei (suitable for odd-mass/-odd nuclei)

Collaboration

Acknowledgments

Chun-yan Song

Hong-feng Lu

Jie Meng, Ying Zhang

Hua Mei, Zhipan Li

Kouichi Hagino, Myaing Thi Win

Beihang Univ. China

China Agriculture Univ. China

Peking Univ. China

Southwest Univ. China

Tohoku Univ. Japan



The AN effective interaction in covariant EDF

Table: The calculated single-particle energies (MeV) of $\Lambda_{1s1/2}$ in selected hypernuclei with the PK1-Y1, PK1-Y2 and PK1-Y0, in comparison with the data. *Tamura02* and those obtained with the TM1-A *Sugahara94*, TM1-B *Sugahara94*, NLSH-A *Mares94*, and NLSH-B *Ma96*.

			PK1		T	V1	NLSH	
Nuclei	Exp.	Y1	Y2	Y0	A Sugahara94	B Sugahara94	A Mares94	В ма96
12C	-10.76(17)	-11.319	-11.055	-11.364	-11.412	-10.258	-11.731	-11.420
¹³ C	-11.69(12)	-12.415	-12.008	-12.248	-12.569	-11.162	-12.791	-12.564
14 ^	-12.17(33)	-12.315	-12.028	-12.223	-12.627	-11.357	-12.738	-12.380
14 N	-12.17(33)	-12.315	-12.054	-12.256	-12.602	-11.359	-12.766	-12.402
15 N	-13.59(15)	-12.340	-12.137	-12.305	-12.746	-11.576	-12.793	-12.340
16 16	-12.50(35)	-12.442	-12.290	-12.437	-12.936	-11.812	-12.908	-12.396
²⁸ Si	-16.00(29)	-17.957	-17.815	-17.642	-17.314	-16.618	-18.608	-17.739
³² S	-17.5(5)	-18.611	-18.401	-18.170	-18.372	-16.942	-19.202	-18.395
⁴⁰ Ca	-18.7(11)	-18.382	-18.352	-18.077	-18.218	-17.519	-19.166	-18.244
51V	-19.97(13)	-20.730	-20.794	-20.394	-19.820	-19.454	-21.477	-20.280
89Y	-23.11(10)	-22.984	-23.211	-22.671	-21.685	-21.560	-23.804	-22.362
¹³⁹ La	-23.8(10)	-24.347	-24.846	-24.432	-22.217	-22.722	-25.296	-23.566
²⁰⁸ Pb	<u>–</u> 26.5(5)	-25.605	-26.146	-25.735	-23.360	-23.872	-26.573	-24.778
Δ		0.851	0.815	0.749	1.229	1.209	1.200	0.906
δ		0.054	0.051	0.048	0.062	0.069	0.075	0.055

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} (E_i^{\exp} - E_i^{\operatorname{cal}})^2}, \delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(E_i^{\exp} - E_i^{\operatorname{cal}})^2}{(E_i^{\exp})^2}}.$$

$$HE [u, [M] | Meng et al. in prenation (2011), CY Song, [M] HE [u, and] Meng, [M] PE [2, 2538(2010)], (30)$$

30